Sign-in Sheet NEPA-404 Merger Meeting September 10, 2020

District 1 - Caton Farm-Bruce Road - Will County Information - Project Update

Name	Agency	e-mail address	Participation Location
Matt Fuller	FHWA	matt.fuller@dot.gov	Teleconference
Mary Young	Civiltech Engineering	myoung@civiltechinc.com	Teleconference
Brad Hayes	Illinois DNR	Bradley.Hayes@illinois.gov	Teleconference
Darien Siddall	FHWA	darien.siddall@dot.gov	Teleconference
Stasi Brown	USACE	stasi.f.brown@usace.army.mil	Teleconference
Shawn Cirton	USFWS	Shawn_Cirton@fws.gov	Teleconference
ohn Sherrill	IDOT	john.sherrill@illinois.gov	Teleconference
Becky Roman	IDOT	elizabeth.roman@illinois.gov	Teleconference
Dwayne Ferguson	IDOT	dwayne.ferguson@illinois.gov	Teleconference
Ion-Paul Kohler	FHWA	Jon-Paul.Kohler@dot.gov	Teleconference
Ken Westlake	USEPA	westlake.kenneth@epa.gov	Teleconference
an Piland	FHWA	janis.piland@dot.gov	Teleconference
elecia Hurley	IDOT	Felecia.Hurley@illinois.gov	Teleconference
Mike Folkening	Civiltech Engineering	mfolkening@civiltechinc.com	Teleconference
Madelaine Johnson	Civiltech Engineering	MJohnson@civiltechinc.com	Teleconference
Scott Stitt	IDOT	Scott.Stitt@illinois.gov	Teleconference
ason Salley	IDOT	Jason.Salley@illinois.gov	Teleconference
Kevin Stallworth	IDOT	Kevin.Stallworth@illinois.gov	Teleconference
Mike Matkovic	CBBEL	mmatkovic@cbbel.com	Teleconference
(yle Duff	Metro Strategies, Inc.		Teleconference
eff Evers	IL Dept of Agriculture	Evers, Jeff < Jeff. Evers@illinois.gov>	Teleconference
anel Veile	IDOT	Janel. Veile@illinois.gov	Teleconference
Mike Sedlacek	USEPA	sedlacek.michael@epa.gov	Teleconference
Nate Roseberry	CDOT	nathan.roseberry@cityofchicago.org	Teleconference
Christina Kupkowski	Will County	ckupkowski@willcountyillinois.com	Teleconference
Pete Harmet	CBBEL	pharmet@cbbel.com	Teleconference
ohn Rogers	FHWA	john.rogers@dot.gov	Teleconference
rene Pantoja	FHWA	irene.pantoja@dot.gov	Teleconference
Chris Byars	FHWA	chris.byars@dot.gov	Teleconference

IDOT District 1, Will County
Caton Farm – Bruce Road Phase I Study
Environmental Assessment
New Preferred Alignment – Project Status Update

Ms. Young (Civiltech) opened the meeting with a PowerPoint presentation discussing the history and developments of the project. The project was last presented on September 19, 2019 with a project update discussing reexamination of the preferred alternative. She stated the project is located in Will County north of Joliet, and covers a study area approximately 11 miles by 3 miles. The project commences at the intersection of U.S. Route 30 and Caton Farm Road and continues across the Des Plaines River Valley and ends at the intersection of IL Route 7 (159th Street) and Cedar Road. In March of 2005, Concurrence Point #1 – Project Purpose and Need was reached. In January of 2007, Concurrence Point #2 was reached with six alternatives to be carried forward, which included two different River Valley crossing locations and 6 build alternatives. The following alternatives were carried forward:

- Caton Farm Bruce Gougar Alignment
- Caton Farm Bruce Middle Alignment
- Caton Farm Oak Bruce Gougar Alignment
- Caton Farm Oak Bruce Middle Alignment
- Caton Farm Oak Gougar Alignment
- Caton Farm Oak Middle Alignment

In July of 2009, the Will County Board passed a resolution adopting the Caton Farm – Oak – Bruce – Middle as the locally preferred alternative.

Since Concurrence Point #3 in 2012, detailed environmental and design studies have occurred as well as coordination meetings with IDOT/FHWA, the project Transportation Corridor Committee (TCC), and the Fairmont Community. Over the past several years, local agencies have expressed the desire for the preferred alternative to be reexamined for the north-south alignment portion east of Gougar Road due to the loss of development opportunities with the current alignment and close proximity to the newly constructed William E. Young Elementary School. The school's property is directly adjacent to the 2009 preferred alignment and construction of the new roadway would require right-of-way from the property where recreational fields are located and/or planned.

Alignment alternatives considered east of Gougar Road included changing the eastern logical terminus, using I-355 as a north to south link for the east to west portions of the alignment, and reevaluating Gougar Road and Cedar Road as north to south links. The alternative to use I-355 was eliminated because it was not believed roadway users would utilize a toll road to go such a short distance, when a non-tolled option is in close proximity. The eastern logical terminus was not changed as it would mean going back to the beginning of the project development process. It was agreed that reevaluation of the Gougar Road and Cedar Road alternatives would be undertaken.

The three eastern alternatives reexamined were:

- Caton Farm Oak Bruce Gougar Alignment
- Caton Farm Oak Bruce Middle Alignment
- Caton Farm Oak Bruce Cedar Alignment

Ms. Johnson (Civiltech) continued the presentation, stating these alternatives were reexamined on the basis of environmental impacts and showed comparable impacts between each of the three alternatives, with the Gougar Road alternative having the least amount of impacts overall. The results of the alternatives reevaluation were presented to the TCC and the public. The public comments received were mixed with no clear preference. After further discussion, the TCC selected the Caton Farm – Oak –Bruce - Gougar Road Alignment as the new north-south preferred alternative due to its proximity to I-355, use of existing infrastructure, and its ability to not hinder development opportunities. Resolutions in support of the Gougar Road alternative have been passed by the County and the local agencies.

New wetland delineations for the project study area were completed in Fall 2019. An Addendum Environmental Survey Request (AESR) was submitted to IDOT in April 2020. Upon IDOT's request, an updated AESR was submitted August 2020 detailing only the Environmental Survey Area for the newly selected Caton Farm – Oak –Bruce – Gougar Preferred Alternative.

The meeting concluded at 1:30 P.M.